

in such Suit or Action, Issue, Presentment or Indictment, to be transmitted to some other Court having jurisdiction in such case, for trial; but in all other cases of Presentment or Indictment pending in any of the Courts of Law in this State having jurisdiction thereof, in addition to the suggestion in writing of either, of the parties to such Presentment or Indictment that such party cannot have a fair and impartial trial in the Court in which the same may be pending, it shall be necessary for the party making such suggestion to make it satisfactorily appear to the Court that such suggestion is true, or that there is reasonable ground for the same; and thereupon the said Court shall order and direct the Record of Proceedings in such Presentment or Indictment to be transmitted to some other Court having jurisdiction in such cases for trial; and such right of removal shall exist upon suggestion in cases when all the Judges of said Court may be disqualified, under the provisions of this Constitution to sit in any case; and said court to which the Record of Proceedings in such Suit or Action, Issue, Presentment or Indictment may be so transmitted, shall hear and determine the same in like manner as if such Suit or Action, Issue, Presentment or Indictment had been originally instituted therein; and the General Assembly shall make such modification of existing law as may be necessary to regulate and give force to this provision.]*

State v. Dashiell, 6 H. & J. 268. Wright v. Hamner, 5 Md. 370. State v. Shillinger, 6 Md. 449. Manly v. State, 7 Md. 135. Brown v. Gilmor, 8 Md. 322. Jerry v. Townsend, 9 Md. 145. Hoshall v. Hoffacker, 11 Md. 364. Latrobe v. Mayor & C. C. of Balto., 19 Md. 13. Griffin v. Leslie, 20 Md. 15. Price v. Nesbitt, 29 Md. 263. Deford v. State, 30 Md. 179. Gambrell v. Parker, 31 Md. 1. Cross v. Kent, 32 Md. 581. Hall v. Schuchardt, 34 Md. 15. Kimball v. Harman, 34 Md. 401. Hoyer v. Colton, 43 Md. 421. Geekie v. Harbourd, 52 Md. 460. Trahern v. Hamill, 53 Md. 90. Desche v. Gies, 56 Md. 135. Weiskittle v. State, 58 Md. 155. McMillan v. State, 68 Md. 307. Belair, etc., Club v. State, 74 Md. 297. Caledonian F. I. Co. v. Traub, 86 Md. 93. City Pass. Ry. Co. v. Nugent, 86 Md. 360. State v. Kiefer, 90 Md. 174.

Sec. 9. The Judge or Judges of any Court may appoint such officers for their respective Courts as may be found necessary; and such officers of the Courts in the City of Baltimore shall be appointed by the Judges of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City. It shall be

Officers of
Court; how
appointed.

*Thus amended by Act of 1874, ch. 384, ratified by the people at November election, 1876.