ant, being duly sworn, answers and says to interrogatories marked "W. H. R.:"

To the first interrogatory:

A. Fifty-five years; been a voter thirty-four years; resided in 1865 in district No. 14.

2d.

A. Did apply—no charge—no witnesses—took the oath—answered all the questions—did not refuse to answer any. though I am so entered on their Registration books.

3d.

A. Did not vote—would have voted for Franklin.

4th.

A. I was present when Mr. Roach (Wm. H.) asked the registers what was the charge against him, and if they had a charge, who was the evidence-John J. Lawson (one of the registers) said there would be evidence at the proper time-I think he told Mr. Roach that he (Mr. R.) must get evilence to prove his loyalty-Mr. Roach said he presumed it was because he was a democrat that he (Lawson) thought he was disloyal-Lawson said that that was evidence of disloyalty, for democracy and disloyalty were identified-well, said Mr. Roach, if that is the case, I expect to be disloyal through life-then Mr. Roach said, those remarks that you heard me make, if they had come from a man of your political sentiments, you would not have thought them disloyal-No, said Lawson-Mr. Roach then said, there's no chance for me, let's go-this occurred on the last day of review-I had no knowledge beyond that.

5th.

A. I can't say, not having been much in politics, but there was a great many.

Special interrogatory:

Did or not the conversation you heard Mr. Roach have with the registers convince you that being a democrat was considered by them sufficient cause for exclusion, and prevent you from making further demand for registration on the day you were there for review?

A. It certainly did; I never said a word to them after that.

Cross-interrogatories:

To the first interrogatory: