

Dr. myo the suit vs. Prody or Jo. Dod
vs. Ric. Rod

And his briefs hereto sent the 2. of January

John: Vigorous Adm^r to Alun: Smith Esq^r }
ag^t } Continued by Pl^t Attorney
William Richardson Esq^r }

SOMERSET COUNTY vs. William: Richardson of Somerset County was attached
to answer unto John: Vigorous Adm^r of the goods and Chattels of Alun: Smith Esq^r
who was Executor of the last Will and Testament of Edward: Smith deceased in a plea
of trespass upon the Case. And whereupon the said John by Peter South
his Attorney saith that y^e said William y^e 10. day of May in y^e year of our Lord 1685
at Monroan within the Jurisdiction of this Court was indebted to the said Edward in his
life time the sum of five hundred pounds of Cobacco being in Consideration that y^e said
Edward would acquit our William: Whistler of the said sum of five hundred pounds of Cob.
which he was indebted to him and accept of him the said William Richardson for pay
as found upon his affidavit to the said Edward: Smith then and there faithfully promised
that he the said William Richardson the said sum of 500 pounds of Cobacco to the said
Edward: Smith when he should be thereto requested would well and truly content and pay
Notwithstanding the said William: Richardson his promise and assumption as said not regarding
but cunning and fraudulently intending the said Edward in his life time and the said Alun
after his death and the said John since the death of the said Edward and Alun. to whom Alun
of all and singular the goods and Chattels which were of the said Alun at the time of his death
after his death by the Le Propri^e was committed the said sum of 500^l of Cobacco or any
part thereof to the said Edward in his life time or to the said Alun after his death or to
the said John after the death of the said Edward and Alun. hath not paid although the
said William Richardson afterwards the day and place as by the said Edward in his
life time and by the said Alun after his death. Execut^r as by the said John Adm^r
of the goods and Chattels and hath been requested but hath hitherto refused and doth
still refuse to the damage of the said John of 1600^l Cobacco and thereof he brings
his suit vs. Douc y^e year. Blogger: John: Dod. Ric: Rod.

vs. Henry: Smith Esq^r }
ag^t } Continued upon Non appearance
Henry: Smith Pl^t Esq^r }

SOMERSET vs. Henry Smith Pl^t was attached to answer the suits of Henry
Smith Merchant in a Plea of trespass upon the Case. And the said Henry by his Attorney
Thomas: Dool saith that in the year of our Lord God 1687. the said defendant became
debtor unto the said plaintiff the full and just sum of sixteen hundred and eighty
pounds of good sound Merchantable Cobacco and Cash the which said sum of 1680^l
of Cobacco and Cash he severall times demanded of the said defendant but he fraudulently
refused to pay the same whereby the plaintiff is damaged 1000 and hath
thereof brought his suit vs. the said defendant. Blogger: Dool John: Dod
Ric: Rod