

colored paper. The addition is to indicate that the proposal is submitted by the sponsoring delegates by request.

I thought we had run out of proposals yesterday but I find we had not. Delegate Proposal No. 444. The Clerk will read the proposal.

READING CLERK: Delegate Proposal No. 444 by Delegate Chabot. Title,

A PROPOSAL that any citizen of the State shall have the right to maintain a judicial action or proceeding against any officer, employee, or instrumentality of the State or of a political subdivision to restrain a violation of the Constitution of the State or the Constitution of the United States, including unconstitutional expenditures.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Proposal No. 444 is referred to the Committee on Personal Rights and the Preamble.

Are there any other motions or resolutions? If not, the Chair recognizes Delegate Powers.

DELEGATE POWERS: Mr. President, I move the Convention resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole for the purpose of considering general orders, including Committee Recommendation SF-1.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any debate schedule to be adopted, Delegate Powers?

DELEGATE POWERS: No debate schedule proposed by the Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second?

(The motion was duly seconded.)

THE PRESIDENT: The question arises on the motion that the Convention resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole for the consideration of general orders of the day consisting of Committee Recommendation No. SF-1 and Committee Report No. SF-1. All in favor, signify by saying Aye; contrary, No. The Ayes have it. It is so ordered.

(Whereupon, at 2:20 P.M., the Convention resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole.)

(The mace was removed by the Sergeant-at-Arms.)

COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

NOVEMBER 3, 1967—2:20 P.M.

PRESIDENT H. VERNON ENEY,
PRESIDING

THE CHAIRMAN: The Committee of the Whole will now come to order.

We will have to pause a moment to replenish a tape. While we are waiting, I request the Chairman of the Committee, Delegate Sherbow, to come forward.

Before I recognize the Chairman of the Committee, I want to make one announcement that is a deviation from the plan that we had a few days ago. Because of the crowded condition and too much confusion in the lounge, if there should be any necessity for delegates to have amendments prepared during the course of the debate instead of going to the lounge, go to the Chief Clerk's office, the Department of Legislative Reference, down the hall. Leave by the rear door and you will find Dr. Phillips there. Tell him what you want and he will see it is taken care of very promptly.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Sherbow, Chairman of the Committee on State Finance and Taxation, to present the Committee Recommendation SF-1.

DELEGATE SHERBOW: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen of the Committee, this recommendation is SF-1 and in a moment I will discuss the amendment to it, but I would ask you for the sake of avoidance of confusion, that there is a third or fourth paper in your book which is headed up Committee Report No. 1 and the first paragraph is a report on a reported omission, et cetera. Please put that aside. It has nothing to do with the subject matter that comes up first. Some books have it at the very beginning. It might be confusing. We will take that up later.

THE PRESIDENT: To make that clearer to you, the recommendation you are now considering is printed on blue paper.

DELEGATE SHERBOW: Our Committee on Finance and Taxation had before it a series of proposals dealing in one form or another with the subject of post-audit, that is, audit after the fact rather than the typical executive type of audit which takes place currently in various departments.

After the discussions began, we received a number of proposals all dealing with the same subject matter in one form or another. Some suggested a general audit relating to finances specifying the time that they should take place. Others related to the method, in detail, by which those who shall be selected are selected, and what