

committee recommendation. It would then be open for further amendment.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: Would this then be the procedure if the committee wished to amend in effect creating a few report?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think we could indicate any hard and fast rule to be followed. Obviously if an amendment was made or series of amendments by a committee that were so extensive as to constitute a new report that would require study, we would have to allow time for study.

In this particular instance, the committee recommendation is one sentence and the proposed amendment is simply a substitute for that one sentence. I do not think we need to delay for another three days to permit consideration. I would not say that that rule would be followed rigidly in every instance.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: Mr. President, a further question of parliamentary inquiry. Several days ago I raised a question as to whether the Rules Committee had considered the question of re-referral and I see from the report today they had not dealt with that. The reason for my question is it seems much more expeditious if this occasion would arise that the committee could withdraw and substitute a report rather than going through the fiction of amending.

I was just wondering now, is there a procedure other than by suspension of the rules to allow a committee to take back a report?

THE PRESIDENT: There is not. This matter was considered at length by the Rules Committee. The adoption of any such procedure would seriously disrupt the orderly procedure of the Convention and could cause interminable delay.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Any further questions? One other matter of practice that I want to announce. Delegate Malkus asked me yesterday if it would not be possible to work out a procedure under which a committee recommendation after its consideration by the Committee of the Whole and while it was being considered by the Committee on Style could be reprinted in its amended form and made available to delegates.

This indeed can be done and will be done. The amendment to Committee Recommenda-

tion GP-1 yesterday will be circulated by tomorrow. We will follow the practice of printing the recommendation showing any language deleted by amendment as stricken through and any language added by amendment in italics so that you can readily see the original form and the amended form.

Keep in mind that this same procedure will be followed by the Committee on Style in making its report of changes. In order to avoid any confusion the rewrite of the committee recommendation as amended by the Committee of the Whole will be printed as an attachment to the report of the Committee of the Whole and will be printed on white paper.

The report of the Committee on Style, which will also have language stricken through and in italics, will be accompanied by a draft of the committee recommendations with the proposed amendments but printed on a different color paper, different either from the blue or the salmon or the white so that you can very readily distinguish which is which.

The Chief Page tells me she has just distributed to the desks of all delegates a copy of the proposed amendment to Committee Recommendation SF-1, therefore she will not distribute additional copies to your desks in the committee rooms but will see that all delegates not here do receive copies.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Powers, Chairman of the Committee on Calendar and Agenda.

DELEGATE POWERS: Mr. President, I move we adjourn until 2:00 P.M. tomorrow.

THE PRESIDENT: All those in favor, signify by saying Aye; contrary, No. The Ayes have it. It is so ordered.

(Whereupon, at 3:09 P.M., the Convention was adjourned to reconvene at 2:00 P.M. on November 3, 1967.)

PLENARY SESSION

NOVEMBER 3, 1967—2:00 P.M.

PRESIDENT H. VERNON ENEY,
PRESIDING

THE PRESIDENT: The Convention will please come to order.

The invocation today will be offered by Father Gabriel Briestensky of St. Benedict's Catholic Church, Baltimore, Maryland. Father Briestensky.