

except to change slightly 4 and 5 to be "diminution of the salary or pension of any judge" rather than "diminution of a judge's salary or pension".

On page 62 in line 36 we used lower case; we changed some section numbers to correspond with the section numbers now in Article 5, I think, correctly. This was all being done about 1:30 this morning.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Henderson.

DELEGATE HENDERSON: I just want to call attention to the misspelling of the word "diminution" on page 61, line 4.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. The obvious typographical error will be corrected.

Delegate Cardin.

DELEGATE CARDIN: On page 60, line 13, the "a" in brackets appears to be in italics. Is that correct?

THE PRESIDENT: That is a change, move the "a" from after the word "except" to before the word "except".

Delegate Gill.

DELEGATE GILL: On page 61, is that section 33 instead of 32?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: Cost of judicial system?

DELEGATE GILL: No, clerks of trial courts. Will that number stay the same?

THE PRESIDENT: 32:

DELEGATE GILL: You made a change in 5.35. That does not refer to that?

THE PRESIDENT: I am not sure that I follow you.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: This is in section 35?

THE PRESIDENT: No, she is talking about section numbers on page—

DELEGATE GILL: Pages 36 and 37. You change the section to 5.33 and page 37 changed to 5.32. Will you exchange those back when you get now on pages 60 and 61? Will that alter the numbers there?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: If they would be in the same order, yes, I suppose you could alter them.

You see, Mr. Chairman, when I changed a few moments ago on the floor the position of "cost of the judicial system", it

moved the position of the "clerk of the trial courts" to the last position. I do not know that it is necessary to change that one because it is after all in the same general category of some of the lesser officers like registers of wills and of sheriffs, but there is a change that resulted from this and page 52, line 44, section 5.33 should become section 5.32.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to modifying the committee's recommendation, page 62, line 44, change 5.33 to 5.32?

Delegate Cardin.

DELEGATE CARDIN: Mr. President, it was called to my attention by several delegates that on line 13, page 60, which I had referred to before, it should be explained perhaps that the form was changed and the "a" in parentheses was removed which actually makes a style change for the whole section. It was not simply a removal, but the word "except" previous to that had preceded the "a".

THE PRESIDENT: I am not sure I follow you.

DELEGATE CARDIN: It changes—

THE PRESIDENT: The change in line 13 was to put the "a" in parentheses in front of instead of after the word "except"?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: That is right, but it changed the wording in that. "Except" was to apply originally to all of (a), (b), (c), and (d).

THE PRESIDENT: Oh.

Delegate Mudd, is that correct?

DELEGATE MUDD: No, I do not think so, Mr. President. It is my understanding that the "except" only applied to those courts listed in 29(a) now. Is that your understanding, Delegate Hardwicke?

DELEGATE HARDWICKE: Yes, this was an error that was supposed to be picked up yesterday when Delegate Fornos presented this and apparently it was inadvertently passed over. "Except" is after the parentheses.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: We were asked to do it on the floor. It was simply picking up what was made clear on the floor.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. Revert to page 62. There was no objection to the change in line 44. This will be modified to