before. If the legislature extended its session to 150 days and had not enacted the budget bill by the 140 days the Governor was mandated to extend the session for at least twenty days or ten days beyond 150, and by the change this does not occur. The Governor is not under any circumstances required to continue the session beyond 150 days. Do you follow the point?

My statement was that the change made by the Committee on Style is in conformity with what the Committee on the Legislative Branch and the Committee of the Whole intended.

Delegate Gallagher.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Yes, sir. We discussed this over the last several days and I had told my staff advisors that this change was consistent with the intention of the Committee of the Whole.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any further questions? Are there any further questions as to Article 6, that is.

Delegate Sybert.

DELEGATE SYBERT: Mr. Chairman, is page 41 and page 42 one of the ones to be reprinted? I find that my second page 42 is missing.

THE PRESIDENT: No, it is not. I will see that is done.

Delegate Penniman.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: On page 41 there is a misspelling of the word "appropriation" on line 50.

THE PRESIDENT: The obvious typographical error will be corrected.

Are there any further questions as to Article 6?

The Chair hears none.

We will proceed to Article 7.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: In Article 7, no change in 7.01, none in 7.02.

A "prescribed" for "provided" in line 33 of 7.03. We made "amendment" singular. Also "may", we changed the order of words. We changed "provide" to "prescribed".

Section 7.04, no change.

Section 7.05, we changed "provide" to "prescribe" on line 18. The position of the word "may" is shifted on line 26 in section 7.06.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Penniman, will you pause just a moment?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: I was bothered earlier and something interrupted me at the time with respect to one of these "provided" and "prescribe" changes in connection with rules by the courts. I do not remember what section it was.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: What that Article 5?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I think.

DELEGATE CLAGETT: Section 7.03, line 33?

THE PRESIDENT: No, that is not the one I am thinking of. Where is the section about the power of the courts to prescribe rules?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: 5.03. May we go back for a moment to section 5.03, page 28. I am a little concerned about the changes made there and I am not sure that they were mentioned. In lines 30 and 32 change the word "prescribe" to "provide".

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose the word "for" was added.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: No, the word "for" was there.

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest that there is a garble in the sentence.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: I agree to that. I should have called it to your attention because we looked at it because we did not know what it meant and we did not have someone from judiciary who wanted to assert what it meant.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. William H. Adkins, Advisor to the Committee on the Judicial Branch, I think there is a garble in section 5.03. So we can save time, would you undertake to look at the earlier drafts and see if it can be picked up while we move ahead? Thank you.

Proceed now with Article 7.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: In 7.04, no change.

In 7.05, a change from "provide" to "prescribe".

7.06, change of the location of the word "may". Put it together with the verb.

Line 29, change "provide" to "prescribe".

No change in 7.07.

None in 7.08.

None in 7.09.

None in 7.10.