

the suggestion of staff advisor, to make clear that the whole session, that is those first ninety days plus the next thirty or possibly even the next thirty would still be the regular session, so you do not create a third type of session beside special session and regular session. In line 50, the word "shall" since it was also a changed word.

The next page, 3.14, we simply cut out the words "General Assembly" which was not necessary. The middle level heading on page 15, line 8, "Legislation", was added.

In line 38, we took the "shall be taken" which had been in line 37 and put it in 38 after the bill to make it read exactly as it does completely parallel to the next sentence. We had to make them parallel. We moved the "shall be taken" until after the words "a bill" so it now corresponds in style with the following sentence.

In section 3.21, we say it is passed "by the affirmative vote of" which makes it the same as it has been the custom throughout. There is no change, happily, in section 3.22, formerly 3.23.

In section 3.23, line 46, you should change "time" to "date" since it is obviously the first of July or summer date that may be selected. Section 3.24 is Post Audit. We decided to leave it there because it is clearly a General Assembly function with the General Assembly in complete control naming the man and providing the directions for them. This covers Article 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any questions as to Article 3?

Delegate Chabot.

DELEGATE CHABOT: Is it possible as a result of 3.21 for a bill to be passed in joint session?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: It is passed by the majority of the members of each house. I do not think we made any substantive change. A vote in joint session or in either house on any bill or resolution or for the election or confirmation of any state officer shall be taken only in public session.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Penniman, the Chair is a little disturbed by that change. I am not sure that it would not at least raise a question.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: I see the problem. I suggest we strike the change in line 5 to make certain that there is no possibility of that.

THE PRESIDENT: You would also restore the "that" in line 7?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: Yes, it would be both in line 7 and line 5.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any objection to modifying the recommendations of the Committee so as to eliminate all change in the first section of section 3.21 as it is printed on lines 3.27 on page 16. Is there any objection?

Delegate Bamberger.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: I do not think the Chair eliminated all the changes.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: The change involving "in each house", but retaining the affirmative vote.

THE PRESIDENT: I am sorry, the two changes.

Is there any objection to modifying the amendments proposed by the Committee so as to restore in line 5 the words "in each house" and to restore the word "that" in line 7 and to strike the word "each" in line 7?

The Chair hears no objection and the modification will be made.

Delegate Winslow.

DELEGATE WINSLOW: Mr. President, may I revert to section 3.15 on page 13, please?

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

DELEGATE WINSLOW: In line 35, Mr. Chairman, you have changed "prescribed" to "provide", but in line 40, where it seems to me that the use is exactly the same "to prescribe by law for a regular session" should not the same change be made there? Line 40 says "otherwise prescribed by law". Is not that use identical with "prescribed by law" in section 35-36?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: In the case of line 36, it states that there shall be this organizational session at which somebody else will do the organizational work. I am just trying to think on my feet. I am not really answering your question. It does set a particular date and it seems to me that this may be the prescribed date. It does detail the particular date.

THE PRESIDENT: It refers to the "convene" rather than "session".

THE PRESIDENT: Any other questions?

Delegate Mentzer.