

Sections 2.02 and 2.03 have been switched around because it seems obvious we should follow voters in national, state, and county elections, and those who have voted in presidential elections and to put voters in municipal elections separately. In the new 2.03, the one on voters in municipal elections in line 9 "nor" was changed as it should have been to "or".

In section 6 we added the words "by law". That is in lines 37 and 38 to make it conform with the normal way of handling it. That is lines 37 and 38.

Section 2.07, line 8 is another of the instances where we will revert to provide to be more accurate because it does not give in detail the supervision, but it provides for the supervision.

On the same page 7, in section 2.09, we changed the "most votes" to the "highest number of votes" in conformity with its usage elsewhere in this constitution.

In line 24, under Referendum, we changed the word "passed" to "enacted" because "enacted" includes not only passage by the General Assembly and signing by the Governor or the passage after veto over the Governor's veto, by the requisite majority.

On page 8, section 2.12 under Suspension, lines 22 and 23, we simply again put it in the style which has been the custom throughout the affirmative votes of three fifths of all of the members of each house.

In section 2.13, line 44, we put back in the word "provided". In line 46, we removed some words in the title which did not seem to be necessary. We did, throughout, seek to reduce the size of the titles or subsections because we did not think it was necessary to make them too long.

On page 9 in the last section, Article 2, we added "nor any law" to make sure. This is in line 9, rather than say "or granting" to make sure we are talking about a separate set of laws. These are picking up merely those which are listed elsewhere which was 3.23 and is now 3.22. I think those are all in Article 2.

Are there any questions of the Committee Chairman as to the style changes in Article 2?

Delegate Grant.

DELEGATE GRANT: On page 6, line 9, where you have changed "nor" to "or", which I believe to be a grammatical change, I would like the record to be abun-

dantly clear that you mean that you have two prohibitions.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Penniman.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: That is correct. There are two prohibitions. They cannot set an age greater than nineteen years; they cannot set a residence requirement of more than one year.

DELEGATE GRANT: Also in line 37 of section 2.06, which you have to look at in conjunction with line 46 and line 47 on page 65, in this particular section you have "conviction of a serious crime", and that is presumed that the legislature will then define what a serious crime is.

On section 3 on page 35 of the inner provisions, there is an old section put out of the Constitution. It says "No person convicted of a felony or serious crime shall be entitled to vote". Have you undertaken a decision as to what is a serious crime?

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: You have me at a great disadvantage. What I have in front of me ends on page 64.

THE PRESIDENT: The other is a schedule of legislation.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: We did not concern ourselves with a schedule of legislation. This is one which the Chairman has told us can be changed by the legislature. It is one on which we were not to spend time and so the question of relating that back to Article 2 is one which I would not address myself to. We have section 2.06 as it came to us from the Convention. Now, I presume they may be seeking to cover the same thing, but it is a substantive question.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Grant, I would take it the question is one of substance rather than style.

DELEGATE GRANT: I believe it to be one of substance, Mr. Chairman, but in view of the fact that you have one definition in one place and apparently either another definition or a definition of the definition that is on page 6, you have to almost consider both of them at this time to see if it would be desirable to make a change on page 6.

THE PRESIDENT: I am only saying I do not think the question is one properly address to Delegate Penniman. It may be a proper question.

Delegate Koss, did you understand the question that was raised, or perhaps this