

Will the delegates have an opportunity to place a final vote on the entire Constitution together?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I am very sorry that I omitted that. In accordance with the enabling act and with the rules, we will submit the entire document, preamble, constitution, and schedules, for one final vote. This does not seem to be required by strict interpretation of the enabling act or the rule or the attorney general, but the Chair will put the final instrument to a vote.

Are there any other questions?

Delegate Grant.

DELEGATE GRANT: Mr. President, if at some point while you are going through a constitution, a delegate discovers what he either believes to be or is a typographical error, what is the proper movement to bring it up?

THE PRESIDENT: Please call it to the attention of the Chair at that moment.

Delegate Burgess.

DELEGATE BURGESS: Mr. Chairman, in reviewing the draft here, there are certain words apparently inserted by the Style and Drafting Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: I am sorry. I did not mention that. I had too many things on my mind. The first matter will be the amendments proposed by the Committee on Style. This will be the first consideration before we consider the entire constitution.

Are there any other questions?

I think probably, Delegate Grant, that that would be the better time to call attention to typographical errors, while the report of the Committee on Style is being presented.

If there are no other questions, the Chair requests that Delegate Penniman present the report of the Committee on Style, Drafting, and Arrangement. This is Report S&D-18.

DELEGATE DELLA: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Della?

DELEGATE DELLA: Mr. President, while the Chairman is going to the rostrum, I would like to have Delegate Armor and Delegate Gilchrist leave Annapolis with honor, and, therefore, I must recognize their wives in the balcony; otherwise, I am afraid they may not be allowed to go home. Mrs. Armor and Mrs. Gilchrist.

*(Applause.)*

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Penniman.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: Mr. Chairman, there are very few changes made in this version of the work of the Committee on Style, Drafting, and Arrangement.

There are, as I will note, one or two failures on our part. One is a failure of omission where we failed to make a change which should have been made and one is a failure of commission where we made a change that should not have been made.

It is evident that even with a full Committee and with the best will in the world and with the assistants that we had on at least some of the sections of the staff, advisor of the substantive committee, or by the committee chairmen, that one can make what is in retrospect a terribly obvious error and I will note that one when I come to it in Article 3. There is also an error of commission in Article 5 which I will note.

I think that we have not made any other changes or failed to make changes except as we intended to do. It may be as we discuss this that you will find other errors of omission in our work.

If we turn then to the preamble and to Article 1, there are no changes from the last version to section 1.08, and in section 1.08 under the heading of removal of criminal cases in line 17, we made the subject singular. But it was made clear that it is in a case involving crime punishable by death, not in a case punishable by death. There is no change except to clarify that it is the crime not the case that is punishable by death. The staff advisor for the Committee was with us at the time that change was made. That is in line 17.

And in 1.13 you will find two changes. The first of the changes is one involving the words "prescribed" and "provide" and this is an explanation which I hope will be clear by the time I get through with it.

In my enthusiasm the other day to follow the suggestions of Delegate Marvin Smith, we changed all the "provides," to "prescribed" and everything began to look good until he began to read some of these and until the Convention itself in handling the section on education and in handling also, as I remember it, the section on conflict of interest. So obviously you could not always use the word "prescribe" where "provide" had previously been. There are circumstances where one provides a gen-