

investigators, as you know, and as Mr. Lloyd Taylor well knows, resort to many, many deviations, if you please, in order to determine whether or not there is an employable male in the home.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Carson.

DELEGATE CARSON: Well, Delegate Bennett, this amendment does not go to those words. We have already passed on that unanimously, I believe, and that is going to be up to the courts to decide what they mean.

This amendment does not go to those words at all. It does not affect them in the least.

THE PRESIDENT: Any further discussion?

Delegate Kiefer.

DELEGATE KIEFER: Delegate Carson asked me about this also. It was my understanding that the original section was offered because it was felt that perhaps section 1.03, the due process and equal protection clause, may not have gone so far as to cover all of these agencies; but if there is any doubt about it, it seems to me it should be conclusive, and we would concur in this amendment and hope that it be passed promptly.

THE PRESIDENT: Any further discussion?

Are you ready for the question?

Delegate Willoner.

DELEGATE WILLONER: Mr. Chairman, I do like this principle, but I must say to be thrown in on us at this last moment, it does give us some worry. It appears to me that the original was put in because we were providing in the legislative article for legislative investigations that apparently, as I remember Delegate Gallagher saying, we had not permitted before. They wanted to avoid the kind of thing that happened in Congress where witnesses were abused. But this applying to all governmental units created a completely new right, a right my questions to some of the delegates has indicated might create some problems.

Everybody is in favor of fair hearings, and I certainly am, but the question really becomes how this is operable, whether it would apply as he indicated to a bar association hearing, whether that would require open hearings or closed hearings, or whether a grievance committee had to meet in the open. There is just no limit to what

might be involved in a provision like this, and I find it somewhat scary for that reason, and I would have to vote against it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair thinks it might be desirable to read the entire section as it would read when amended. It would read: "No person shall be denied the right to fair and just treatment in any investigation conducted by the State or by any unit of local government or by any of their departments or agencies."

Is there any further discussion?

Are you ready for the question?

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 17 to the draft constitution S&D-9 as revised on second reading. A vote Aye is a vote in favor of the amendment. A vote No is a vote against.

Cast your vote.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 83 votes in the affirmative and 9 in the negative, the motion is carried and the amendment is adopted.

Delegate Wagandt, do you desire to make a motion at this time?

DELEGATE WAGANDT: Mr. President, I believe it is Mr. Macdonald who will make that motion.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Delegate Macdonald.

DELEGATE MACDONALD: Mr. President, after consulting with several of my colleagues and giving this matter very serious consideration, I think we prefer not to make the motion at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Delegate Moser, do you desire to offer your Amendment B?

DELEGATE MOSER: If the Chair will bear with me just one moment, I would like to consult with Delegate Macdonald.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

DELEGATE DELLA: Mr. President, during this lull I have a parliamentary question or inquiry.

Will there be a withholding statement given for the income that was earned in 1967 before we leave here?