

after December 3, 1891. If you take advantage of old privileges which you may have had before, but had never taken advantage of, you are still protected.

With this language we have made a change in that. If the matter is not considered important by the Chairman of the Committee, I will not press it any further, but I will insist that we are making a change by the addition of these words.

We are not putting things precisely as they are in the present Constitution, and I think it is wrong to state that we are doing it when in fact we are not.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion?

Delegate Harry Taylor.

DELEGATE H. TAYLOR: Mr. President, would it be in order for me to move the previous question?

THE PRESIDENT: It would.

DELEGATE H. TAYLOR: Then I do.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there a second?

*(The motion was duly seconded.)*

THE PRESIDENT: The question arises on the motion to order the previous question on the adoption of Amendment No. 3. All in favor signify by saying Aye. Contrary, No. The Ayes seem to have it. The Ayes have it, and it is so ordered.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 3. Amendment No. 3 not yet printed, is to amend section 9.06 by adding in line 34, after the word "that", the words "are now or". A vote Aye is a vote in favor of the amendment. A vote No is a vote against.

Cast your vote.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 92 votes in the affirmative, and 5 in the negative, the motion is carried and the amendment is adopted.

Delegate Gallagher, we have been unable to locate Delegate James.

This is the last thing under this article. I think we will have to return to Amendment No. 2.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: I think there has been a good deal of discussion heretofore. I will not impose myself fur-

ther upon the Convention. The object of the Committee, I think, is quite obvious. We debated this thing, we had a heated and spirited debate, and it was decided we should have this particular section.

I think that it will be a valuable addition to the constitution. I urge the adoption of the amendment.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion? It is Amendment No. 2, the amendment to section 9.08. This amendment not yet printed, would amend section 9.08 by striking from line 50 on page 2 the word "provide", and substituting the word "prescribe", striking the word "for" in the same line, and in line 51, after the word "and" insert the word "provide", so that the two lines, 50 and 51, as amended would read "the General Assembly shall prescribe by law a code of ethics and provide for the regulation of conflicts."

Delegate Gleason.

DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, I have a question of the Chairman of the Committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Gallagher, do you yield to a question?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Gleason.

DELEGATE GLEASON: Delegate Gallagher, as I read this, it states the General Assembly shall prescribe by law a code of ethics. As I understand that phraseology, it means that they shall write out in the Alpha to Omega language what that code of ethics stands for. Or is it adequate for the purpose of this section for them to provide the standards of the code of ethics, or just what is contemplated?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: This section, I suggest, contemplates a statement, an actual setting forth of what shall consist of either ethical or unethical conduct.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you have a further question, Delegate Gleason?

DELEGATE GLEASON: Carrying on Senator James' battle in his absence, as I understand his point, I think it was well taken, the Legislative Council has a bill in the hopper leaving out its provisions with respect to the rules of both bodies of the legislature, the establishment of a board which will have, as I understand it, the policing power of making sure that this ethical standard is maintained, and adhered to. Is this contemplated within the first part of this provision? The difficulty that I