THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion? Are you ready for the question?

(Call for the question.)

The question arises on the motion to reconsider the vote by which Resolution No. 18 was adopted on October 25, 1967. A vote Aye is a vote in favor of reconsideration. A vote No is a vote against reconsideration. If the vote in favor of reconsideration passes, the Chair will recognize Delegate Marion for the purpose of making the other part of his motion. If the motion to reconsider fails, that is an end to the matter. Are you ready for the question? A vote Aye is a vote in favor of reconsideration, a vote No, a vote against reconsideration. I think a roll call vote is indicated.

(Whereupon a roll call vote was taken.)

THE PRESIDENT: Have all delegates voted? Do any delegates desire to change their vote?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Rybczynski. For what purpose does the delegate rise?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Point of inquiry. What will happen to the committee votes while this matter, should it be sent back to the committee, what will happen to the committee votes in the meantime?

THE PRESIDENT: I would take it that if the vote is reconsidered, the matter is in the same status as it was yesterday before the Convention acted.

Have all delegates voted? Do any delegates desire to change their vote?

(There was no response.)

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 89 votes in the affirmative and 40 votes in the negative, the motion to reconsider carries. The Chair recognizes Delegate Marion.

DELEGATE MARION: Mr. President, I move that Resolution No. 18 be referred to the Committee on Rules, Credentials and Convention Budget for consideration, and report of its consequences in the light of Convention Rule 28 [29].

(The motion was duly seconded.)

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Schloeder seconds the motion. The Chair recognizes Delegate Marion for any comments in support of the motion.

DELEGATE MARION: I think all that need be said was said prior to the vote on the motion for reconsideration.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion? Delegate Malkus?

DELEGATE MALKUS: Mr. Chairman, before we vote on this matter on rules, which really and truly is a graveyard, I would like to ask you, sir, what was the philosophy behind having the three top men in this body, you, sir, and the First Vice-President and Second Vice-President, appointed to more committees than the rest of us proletarians?

THE PRESIDENT: I think the answer to the inquiry of Delegate Malkus was furnished in the written statement that I sent to all delegates with the various memoranda appointing committees. I stated quite explicitly the reasons for appointment of officers as liaison members of the committee. It has served that purpose very well.

I might further state since this inquiry has been made, that the President, although a liaison member of the Committee on the Judicial Branch, the Committee on Local Government, and the Committee on Style, Drafting and Arrangement, has never voted in any committees, and does not intend to do so.

Is there any further discussion?

DELEGATE SCANLAN: Mr. President?

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I somewhat resent in behalf of my colleagues to have our little committee called a graveyard, although I do concede there is a spook or two about the place. (Laughter.)

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate White.

DELEGATE WHITE: Mr. President, I wonder how my very fine friend Delegate Scanlan meant the word spook. (Laughter.) I hope he meant it the right way.

I wonder if I am in order, if I would make too much a burden, if I offered an amendment that might be seconded, whereby the Rules Committee would give some attention to the attendance on the part of delegates in substantive committee meetings in relationship to the vote, and how it may influence the final vote following deliberations in such a committee.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that your motion?

DELEGATE WHITE: I would move, if I am in order, that this proposal should be