

The question to be decided is whether to insert the word "or" after the word "provided" on line 6 in section 10.04.

The Clerk will record the vote.

*(Whereupon, a roll call vote was taken.)*

DELEGATE JAMES: Delegate Boileau votes No.

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 4 votes in the affirmative and 91 votes in the negative, the amendment is rejected.

If there are no further amendments to section 10.04, the Chair will proceed to article 1, Declaration of Rights, Continuity of Government During Emergencies.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Sollins.

DELEGATE SOLLINS: Mr. President, do I understand correctly that an amendment to revert to the original committee language would be an amendment of style, rather than an amendment of substance?

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): The Chair is of that opinion. It is a proper amendment at this time.

DELEGATE SOLLINS: I do not have the amendment printed, Mr. Chairman, but I would move at this time that the Convention restore the original language as proposed by the Committee on the Legislative Branch, which was adopted in the Committee of the Whole.

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): The Chair recognizes Delegate Penniman.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: It seems to me it is difficult to take such a step since we were instructed by the Committee of the Whole to combine a section in article 1 with the section in article 3, and I do not know how one can revert to the words as they came from the Committee of the Whole, because there are two sets of words.

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): In the light of that, it appears to the Chair the motion is out of order if it is not possible by striking out the language to actually recapture the two sections which were presented to the Committee on Style.

DELEGATE SOLLINS: I was not aware they were attempting to capture two sections.

May I then move when we are considering the substance a motion to this effect?

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): That would be appropriate.

The parliamentarian advises that if you want to raise the question on the adoption of the amendments of the Committee on Style that we can raise the question simply by voting on whether we shall adopt these amendments. That would be one issue.

If we do not adopt the amendments, then we simply will have the two sections which went to the Committee on Style.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Sollins.

DELEGATE SOLLINS: Mr. President, we are concerned that some of the protections that were built into the legislative branch language have been removed as a result of this combination of two sections. If we can have a few minutes, we are trying to work this out.

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): Does Delegate Sollins wish to speak to the question? The question is on the adoption of the amendments offered by the Committee on Style.

DELEGATE SOLLINS: Mr. President, with the permission of the Convention, I would like to hold up for a few minutes to reconsider this and present it at a later time.

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): Very well.

Are there any other amendments to the sections on style?

*(There was no response.)*

The question now presented is the adoption of all the style amendments to GP-7, GP-8, GP-9, GP-12, as modified.

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

*(A roll call vote was taken.)*

The Clerk will record the vote.

Delegate Gallagher?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. President, is this a quorum vote you are taking, now?

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): No. This is a vote—

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Should there be some indication on the board, for purposes of the record, of what it is?

DELEGATE JAMES (presiding): Well, we must have mechanical failure. This is a vote. The Chair announced a vote. The Clerk has attempted to indicate the vote, but something has happened, some electronic difficulty.