

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, that can be done.

You do not object to Delegate Rybczynski's motion because it is not printed?

DELEGATE STERN: No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Delegate Penniman.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: Mr. Chairman, I am afraid that there has been a mistake made in the typing from our Committee. If you will look at lines 13 through 17 to the point where it has been scratched by the amendment, and then if you read the next sentence, you will find they are saying exactly the same thing in slightly different words. I think one can simply stop at line 17, and cut the entire next sentence. It was obvious that it inadvertently got typed twice. Is it not the same?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think so, Delegate Penniman. One place refers to keeping the journal, and that it is to be open for inspection, the other place refers to the publication.

DELEGATE PENNIMAN: The first is to provide for a current daily journal, and the second one calls for publishing it.

THE PRESIDENT: We are delaying for a moment because the delegates who had been requested by Delegate Storm to submit his amendment are under the circumstances uncertain as to what he would want them to do, and they are telephoning him. It will be but a moment.

Delegate Henderson.

DELEGATE HENDERSON: Mr. President, it seems to me we are getting into a parliamentary hassle over what I think is a very extremely simple proposition. This Assembly has just voted to delete the necessity for the General Assembly to keep a transcript of its proceedings.

Now, Delegate Rybczynski's motion now is to delete the clause which calls for the mandatory publication of that transcript, and I would assume that that is simply clarifying and carries out what might well have been included in the last vote, so that I would assume that everybody who voted to delete the clause requiring the keeping of a transcript would also vote for the fact that it need not be published. A non-existent transcript need not be published. That is simply a clarification.

THE PRESIDENT: That is true. The difficulty arises because two other delegates

desire to offer motions as substitutes for the pending motion, which is what is causing the present confusion.

Delegate Henderson.

DELEGATE HENDERSON: Delegate Gallagher's motion on the other hand, which would substitute "may" in the publication is, I take it, quite unnecessary because the legislature would have plenary power to do so.

Now, if we could dispose of these things, would not the way then be open for Delegate Storm's motion which would substitute "audible" for the transcript called for in the first proposal?

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Beatrice Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, I do this with considerable reluctance, but in view of the people here, in view of the importance of the matter, I would ask that we have reconsideration of this item.

THE PRESIDENT: You mean you are moving for reconsideration of the vote by which Amendment No. 11 was adopted?

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: The Convention will please come to order.

Delegate Rybczynski.

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Mr. President, in all seriousness I make this motion in order to try to help:

I move that we adjourn until noon on Tuesday.

THE PRESIDENT: The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

Sergeant-at-Arms, will you please get delegates to move into the Chamber quickly?

Delegate Rybczynski, your motion to adjourn of course has priority. The Chair, if you desire to press it, would ask you to amend it to make it at 10 o'clock on Tuesday. This creates problems, because we had wanted to have Tuesday morning for some committee meetings.

I have been informed that you sent a message that if the Chair wanted you to withdraw the motion, you would do so. I would much prefer, in view of the tightness of time, to finish consideration of the remainder of this article, except for this section. I am frankly quite troubled that the statement of the Chair, which I thought