

the police powers and it is not necessary for jail or imprisonment to rest on this section.

It is only agreements incorporated into divorce proceedings or agreements with respect to support which a court incorporates into its decree. A strict ruling by the court on its own is not necessary to be protected under these circumstances.

THE PRESIDENT: Let the court make this suggestion. In any event (*Laughter*)—I am sorry. That is the first time I have made that slip I have been conscious of, and I have been told I have made it at least three times, and I am sorry.

Delegate Rybczynski, if I understand the point you are making, it does not quarrel with any of the changes submitted by the Committee on Style. It rather is a further change, although it may be of style. If so, I think it would be preferable to consider it separately from the committee amendments. This will give you an opportunity to struggle with language a little. I will give you the opportunity later to consider it.

Am I correct that you are not troubled by the changes made by the Committee on Style in this section, you are troubled by the fact that you do not think they have made enough changes?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: No, sir. This line 41 really troubles me.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I follow your point.

Are there any other amendments to section 1.13?

Delegate Willoner.

DELEGATE WILLONER: Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment, I believe of style, to 1.13.

It is a committee amendment, Amendment R, and the mover of this amendment when it was changed in the Committee of the Whole —

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Willoner, I do not believe that is an amendment of style, I believe it is an amendment of substance. I will recognize you at the proper time.

Are there any other amendments as to style to section 1.14? 1.15? 1.16? section 1.17? section 1.18?

Delegate Dukes.

DELEGATE DUKES: I have an Amendment O which is not directed to 1.18 but in

effect would create a new 1.18 and re-number 1.18. Is that an amendment to 1.18?

THE PRESIDENT: It is not a style amendment, I take it.

DELEGATE DUKES: Unnumbered section 2 on page 5 would be moved in as a new section 1.18, and it would be re-numbered 1.18.

THE PRESIDENT: You mean it just changes the position of the section?

DELEGATE DUKES: It changes it from general provisions to the Declaration of Rights.

THE PRESIDENT: I do not think that is proper at this time. I will recognize you.

Unnumbered section, beginning in line 5 on page 5, are there any style amendments?

Unnumbered section on page 5 beginning in line 13, any style amendments?

Delegate Dukes.

DELEGATE DUKES: Can you explain to me whether the process of voting on the third reading will be with respect to section by section or article by article? What are we going to vote on on the third reading? What constitutes the majority?

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair proposes to submit the entire constitution to the Convention for consideration and adoption, final adoption, on third reading, article by article.

When we get to the schedule of transitional provisions and the schedule of legislation, they will be submitted schedule by schedule.

Delegate Dukes?

DELEGATE DUKES: Can you also tell me now whether or not —

THE PRESIDENT: Let me add something to that, that in order to consider it in that manner it will be necessary for the convention either to suspend or to change Rule 59, I think it is, which requires section by section consideration.

MR. DUKES: Can you also tell me whether general provisions will be a separate article by the time it gets to us on third reading, as a numbered section similar to what would now be the article for the Declaration of Rights?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, there will be an article. Whether it will be called "General Provisions" or "General Government",