

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Bard, I thought you were going to ask a question.

DELEGATE BARD: My question is: Does this imply that they have a vote of their choice?

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Kirkland, do you want to answer?

DELEGATE KIRKLAND: To my mind, it is in the "resolved," Dr. Bard, that they would have a vote on one substantive committee, be it assigned by the President, or be it a choice of their own. However, I think they are only entitled to one vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Koss.

DELEGATE KOSS: I would like to address a question, if I may, to Delegate Kirkland. I can certainly appreciate his concern in terms of one man, one vote, but I wondered whether implicit in his statement about attending hearings and meetings, he felt that a vote on substantive issues should be conditioned on attendance at all hearings, prior hearings, and meetings of the committee and participation in the deliberations.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Kirkland, do you care to answer?

DELEGATE KIRKLAND: I strongly feel that witnesses and their opinions are of vital importance in more or less my committee deliberation, and I am quite sure it would be in the consideration of their vote, if they heard all the witnesses who testified and the substance on which they testified. I feel it is very vital and important in determining one's vote.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any further questions to be asked? If not, the Chair recognizes Delegate Koss.

DELEGATE KOSS: In extension of my original question, I do not really feel Mr. Kirkland answered it. I was mindful of his consideration of the factor in terms of the three people who are assigned to additional committees. I was talking about individuals assigned to only one committee, and whether their vote in the committee should be conditioned; whether in the original statement there was any implication that regular members who were assigned only to one committee should not be permitted to vote unless they had been present in committee deliberations to hear the testimony of all the witnesses.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Singer.

DELEGATE SINGER: I have a question for Delegate Kirkland. His remarks

were not addressed to the last two lines of the resolution, that they be applied retroactively. I would ask him why this is inserted in the resolution when at this point in the proceedings of the Convention it would be damaging, if not fatal, to the Convention if many of the committees were forced to start all over again in voting.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Kirkland, I take it that is a question addressed to you. Do you care to answer it?

DELEGATE KIRKLAND: I do not think it would be damaging. I think it would just start some recounting of some votes already taken and would give the people an honest opinion of the substantive committee. I see no reason and I do not think it would hinder the progress of this Convention one bit.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Schneider.

DELEGATE SCHNEIDER: Mr. President, on line 18 it says whereas one delegate has been assigned to no substantive committee—I would ask Mr. Kirkland who is this delegate.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps the Chair better answer that. The delegate in question is Delegate Howard Penniman, who is Chairman of the Committee on Style, Drafting and Arrangement. Under the Convention rules each delegate is entitled to serve on at least one substantive committee if he so requests. In discussing the appointment of Delegate Penniman to the chairmanship of this committee, I suggested that the duties of chairman of that committee would be so exacting and time consuming that he would probably not have the time to attend the hearings of other substantive committees. He agreed and did not request that he be placed on any other committee. Delegate Gallagher.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. President, on page 2, I move that lines 6 and 7 be struck. Move to amend.

THE PRESIDENT: There is a motion that lines 6 and 7 on page 2 be stricken. Is there a second?

*(The motion was duly seconded.)*

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Gallagher, do you desire to speak in support of the motion?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Very briefly, Mr. President. I think the resolution has much merit but I am afraid the retroactive aspects of it will cause some