

The Chair hears none.

Are there any with respect to section 1.04?

The Chair hears none.

Are there any with respect to section 1.05?

With respect to 1.06?

Delegate Willoner.

DELEGATE WILLONER: I have Amendment Q, a committee amendment to section 1.05.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Willoner, as the Chair understands the amendment, you are in effect restoring section 1.05 to exactly the form before the amendments as to style were inserted?

DELEGATE WILLONER: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT: Then I do not think the amendment is necessary. The procedure would be simply to ask for a separate vote on section 1.05 and to vote against the amendments proposed by the Committee on Style. This would accomplish the same purpose.

DELEGATE WILLONER: And when do I make that motion?

THE PRESIDENT: I will give you the opportunity when we have finished considering amendments but before we adopt the amendments of the Committee on Style.

DELEGATE WILLONER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

THE PRESIDENT: Are there any other amendments to section 1.05 as to style?

As to 1.06? 1.07? 1.08? 1.09? 1.10? 1.11? 1.12? 1.13?

Delegate Marvin Smith. Mr. President, we have an amendment that has just been submitted on 1.12.

THE PRESIDENT: As to style?

DELEGATE M. SMITH: As to style, yes, sir. I will admit some mental reservations when I read it to you.

On line 42, at the beginning of the line we would insert the words "arising out of". We would delete the word "or", so that the section would read "No person shall be imprisoned for debt, but an obligation created by a valid decree of a court arising out of an agreement approved by decree of a court for the support of a wife,

or of dependent children or of illegitimate children, or for alimony, shall not constitute a debt within the meaning of this section."

THE PRESIDENT: It does not seem to the Chair that the amendment is one of style. It seems rather one of substance. I think the time to offer it would be at a later point.

Delegate Rybczynski.

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Many of us are concerned about this 1.12. We do believe that it is a question of style.

The problem, if I might suggest to the President, is that line 41 appears to hang by itself when in fact it should not. It should somehow be tied into the support of a wife or dependent children, or for the other things, so that what we are afraid of is that line 41 might possibly be read by a court some day whereby a person, say found in debt under a mechanic's lien, might find himself in jail. This is just a word or two.

I think Mr. Smith is right that it is a style problem here.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the problem may be one of style, but the amendment suggested I think would go beyond the question of style.

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: I think you are right. It would change it completely.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Kiefer.

DELEGATE KIEFER: I think that the words you suggested, "by a valid decree of a court incorporating an agreement approved", and so forth, just say what normally happens. I would have no objection to your suggestion whether it is substance or style, Delegate Smith. The court incorporates the agreement in its decree, and that is what we are really talking about.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Rybczynski, is that the thought you had in mind?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Yes, sir, but then I think you would have to go down to line 43 and then add "or a decree of a court for the support". You have to have both, in other words.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Kiefer.

DELEGATE KIEFER: If I might answer that, it has been held by the Court of Appeals that decrees for alimony, decrees for the support of children, decrees for the support of illegitimate children, are within