

There being 47 votes in the affirmative and 64 in the negative, the motion is lost, and the amendment is rejected.

Are there any other amendments to section 5.15? The Chair hears none.

Are there any amendments to section 5.16? The Chair hears none.

Are there any amendments to section 5.17? The Chair hears none.

Are there any amendments to section 5.18? The Chair hears none.

Are there any amendments to section 5.19?

Delegate Willis.

DELEGATE WILLIS: I have one for 5.20, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Any amendments to section 5.19?

Delegate Willis, is your amendment printed?

DELEGATE WILLIS: I have not seen it. I took it over about an hour and a half ago.

THE PRESIDENT: Pages please distribute amendment M. "M" for Mary. This shall be amendment No. 9.

The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 9 to Committee Recommendation JB-1, as amended by Report No. S&D-8, by Delegate Willis:

On page 7, section 5.20, Restrictions on Members of Nominating Commissions, in line 3 strike out the words "two years" and insert in lieu thereof the words "one year"; and in line 4 place a period after the word "commission" and strike out the remainder of this line and all of lines 5 and 6.

THE PRESIDENT: The amendment is proposed by Delegate Willis. Is there a second?

*(The amendment was duly seconded.)*

THE PRESIDENT: The amendment having been seconded, the Chair recognizes Delegate Willis.

DELEGATE WILLIS: This is a very simple amendment. I do not want to take a lot of time. In reading this I get the feeling that a great many good people who serve on nominating commissions will not be able to serve their governments, whether they be local, state, national or municipal,

in any way, at least a year after they get off of the commission.

I think that it immobilizes a lot of good talent that we need these days in government, and I do not think that it would be necessary to hold out a judicial candidate for two years, either.

It would seem to me that the judges who elect these judicial commission members by secret ballot could put on this commission the very best candidates for judge-ships in their areas, and in a sense immobilize them for maybe as much as six years from receiving an appointment to a judicial position.

Therefore I think this is too restrictive. I do not recall any good arguments given for it when we had this on the floor before.

THE PRESIDENT: Delegate Beatrice Miller, do you have a question?

DELEGATE B. MILLER: I have a parliamentary inquiry.

THE PRESIDENT: State your inquiry.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Can this question be divided?

THE PRESIDENT: I think so. Do you desire to have it divided?

DELEGATE B. MILLER: I do, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: If the question is divided, the Committee on Style would have to rephrase it. I think that could be done.

The division being called for, the Chair will divide the question. I submit as the first question the amendment embraced in the first four lines, and the second question the amendment embraced in the last three lines, 5, 6 and 7.

Delegate Mudd.

DELEGATE MUDD: Mr. President, I rise to speak in opposition to both parts of this amendment as divided. I appreciate the spirit which apparently prompted Delegate Willis to propose this amendment, and I do not know that the exact restrictions of this amendment were debated to any extent in the Committee of the Whole. Now I wish to assure the proponent of this amendment that this matter was debated at considerable length in the Committee, and we were mindful in proposing these restrictions that in some areas, at least, service on this nominating commission within the restrictions set out in section