

docket and wanted to make it clear that they would not be so classified.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Weidemeyer, did you understand the suggestion?

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: I think I gather his intent but we would have to go back to line 8 and strike out "and all cases in equity" in order to make it read properly.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you desire to modify the amendment?

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: I have no objection to the modification. There are thirty-one other co-sponsors. I would hope they would agree and we can get this matter settled.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection of the sponsors to the modification?

Delegate Grant.

DELEGATE GRANT: I would object.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gill, for what purpose do you rise? Just a second.

Delegate Weidemeyer.

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: I would have no objection to having at the end of line 16 it say "condemnation cases and divorce cases" if that is any great fear, because I do not think ordinarily divorce cases are removed anyway.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection from any of the sponsors to the suggested change?

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: I would ask it be amended.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection by anyone to the modification by adding after the word "cases"—could you accomplish it simply by putting words "and divorce" between "condemnation" and "cases"?

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: "Condemnation and divorce cases."

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well. Any objection to modifying the amendment in line 16, after the word "condemnation" adding the words "and divorce"?

Delegate H. Taylor.

DELEGATE H. TAYLOR: Mr. Chairman, I think the term "domestic relations" might be better because you get into support cases.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Weidemeyer, would you use domestic relations instead of divorce?

DELEGATE WEIDEMEYER: I think Delegate Taylor has a good point. We accept that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any objection to modifying the amendment in line 16 by adding after the word "condemnation" the words "and domestic relations"?

Delegate Beatrice Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: I have a question, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let me find out first is there an objection. The Chair hears none. After the word condemnation add the words "and domestic relations."

To whom is your question directed, Delegate Miller?

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Either to the Chair or the chairman or the sponsor of the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does it have to do with the modification just made?

DELEGATE B. MILLER: No, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: For what purpose does Delegate Gill rise?

DELEGATE GILL: I would like to ask a clarifying question from the Chair. I think yesterday we voted on section 8, right of removal of cases, and in amendment 13-B the question comes to my mind because of what Delegate Johnson said. He said we might be accused by the people of not providing for removal of civil cases.

It is my understanding the amendment was passed 73 to 40, Amendment 13-B, which reads "The Court of Appeals, by rule, or the General Assembly by law, shall provide for the right of removal of civil cases in the trial courts."

THE CHAIRMAN: That Amendment No. 13-B was adopted as a substitute for Amendment No. 13 by a vote of 73 to 40 but after the amendment as substituted was put to a vote on adoption, it was rejected by a vote of 44 to 80, so that the entire section was finally deleted.

Delegate Beatrice Miller, will you state your question.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I listened as hard as I could for the last two days but I am very confused. I do not know what all cases in equity means; I do not know what all cases that exceed the jurisdictional amount—I do not know how much the jurisdictional amount is. I do not know what the effect of this amendment would be and I am unable to vote on it.