peake Bay and the headwaters, themselves, would be part of the Bay, is this not correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Adkins.

DELEGATE ADKINS: I think that was not correct. The headwaters of the Bay, the estuaries of the Bay are not properly a part of the Bay itself.

This amendment would be limited to the main body of the Bay. In other words, the Susquehanna River and the other rivers would not be a part of the Chesapeake.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Hostetter.

DELEGATE HOSTETTER: What then would they be known as, sir? The mouths of all these rivers come in at practically one point within an area of 10 to 12 miles of each other. What would that area be called, then, sir?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Adkins.

DELEGATE ADKINS: I would have to look at a map to determine that. I just do not know the answer to that question.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Hostetter, any further questions?

DELEGATE HOSTETTER: No, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Sickles.

DELEGATE SICKLES: Mr. Chairman, I just want to say that those of us who were concerned about Smith Island are satisfied.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any further questions?

Delegate Willoner.

DELEGATE WILLONER: Mr. Chairman, I feel that I have to vote against this amendment. It would seem simpler to attach a copy of a map of the Chesapeake Bay and draw a line than it would be to understand this amendment.

Furthermore, in the event in the future, and I would hope that someday a bridge is crossed across the southern end of our state, across the Bay, it might even be more reasonable that two southern counties be attached together for districting purposes.

It seems to me this is a silly amendment and it seems to me also it is an attempt to redistrict in the Constitution.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gallagher, so the record will be clear, will you indicate your views on the record as to the amendment as modified?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, I wholeheartedly endorse this amendment; whatever it may be.

(Laughter.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Carson.

DELEGATE CARSON: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen: This amendment will have the effect, it seems to me, of making it very unclear as to where Cecil and Harford will stand.

My best opinion is that we could form a district, half of Cecil and all of Harford, or perhaps all of Cecil and a small part of Harford, but it is an absurdity as far as those two small counties are concerned.

I like my neighbors to the east and I will vote against the amendment, because of the unclear situation. I doubt that in the near future we shall be joined, but perhaps someday we shall. I think this amendment as it applies to our two counties is unfortunate and I shall vote against it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: It pains me deeply to vote against any amendment suggested by my good friend Delegate Adkins, and I want to make my personal intention clear.

I think it is the contention of the group that even those of us who vote against the amendment, do not mean by that that future redistricting should permit crossing of the Bay, but I have sat here for a number of days opposing attempts to have the new Constitution read like the Ten Commandments, the Boy Scout Manual, the national platforms of both political parties, and the annual resolutions of the Young Socialist League, and I do not want a chapter in there that looks like it came out of the National Geographic Magazine.

(Laughter.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gleason.

DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, I would hate to have the Eastern Shore sunk by Smith's Island, and I would just like to suggest that even though I intend to vote against this amendment if the delegate would go back to his original amendment, I would support that one.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any further discussion?

Delegate Boyce.

DELEGATE BOYCE: I would like to suggest that this amendment as now worded