

Tax Plan was illegal because it covered a number of items, police protection, funds, school construction, and general allocation to the subdivisions.

As I understand it, the Committee on Taxation and Finance would have such supplementary appropriations bills held valid even though they should be so constituted and it would be our intention to allow them to do so and not be in contravention of section 3.15.

The one thing we have added to try to delimit this exception is the sentence that all supplementary appropriation laws shall be limited to the subject of appropriations, the purposes of which shall clearly be defined therein.

One-half of the first half of that last sentence beginning on line six is from the model Constitution which does recommend this exception and I would urge the adoption of the amendment.

I might say that Delegate Case was kind enough to bring this to my attention yesterday, and the Committee met and agreed to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions of the sponsor of the amendment?

*(There was no response.)*

The Chair hears none. The Chair would like to put this question: with respect to the first part of the amendment in lines 3 and 4, that is the language "except supplementary appropriation laws" as the Chair reads it, that would mean that a supplementary appropriation law would not have to have a title. It would take the supplementary appropriation law out of the requirement that it embrace one subject, also out of the requirement of title.

Is that intended?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: No, sir, it is not.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is that a matter which can be left to the Committee on Style?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: I believe both the Committee on State Tax and Finance and the Legislative Branch Committee will agree we did not intend that the title be eliminated.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: This is my understanding of it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Penniman, can you note that clearly so that the Com-

mittee on Style can consider rephrasing the sentence beginning in line 13 to make it clear that if this amendment is passed, a supplementary appropriation law would have to have a title, but not only have to have one subject.

Is that the intent?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any further questions?

Is there any discussion?

*(There was no response.)*

Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 8 to Committee Recommendation LB-2.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 8, a vote No is a vote against.

Cast your vote.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

*(There was no response.)*

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 108 votes in the affirmative and none in the negative, the motion is carried and the amendment is adopted.

Delegate Grumbacher.

DELEGATE GRUMBACHER: Mr. Chairman, I move for reconsideration of the vote by which Amendment No. 7 reached a tie vote.

Someone has suggested that this be by secret ballot.

*(Laughter.)*

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there a second to the motion?

*(The motion was duly seconded.)*

THE CHAIRMAN: It has been regularly moved and seconded that the tie vote by which Amendment No. 7 was neither approved nor disapproved be reconsidered.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of reconsideration, a vote No is a vote against. Cast your votes.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote? The Clerk will record the vote.