

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gallagher.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Would the insertion of that exception so it would read "except during the first four days of the special session, no vote on final passage of the bill shall be taken until after the fifth calendar day after its introduction in the house of origin and until the second calendar day after it reaches the second house"?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: Yes, that would do it. I just wanted to make the point Delegate Penniman made that the exception really embraced everything beginning at line 31 down to the end of line 41, which is what you indicated during the question period but needs clarification by the Committee on Style, but the intention of the Committee I thought was clear.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: When a special session was called, there would be no way of guaranteeing with certainty whether or not you were going to be there seven days or five days, therefore you would always have to except the special session from the five days of the house of origin requirement and the two days in the second house.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does the Chair understand you will offer the "exception" amendment?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman, my Committee is giving me more advice than I can take in a single moment here.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: Mr. Chairman, I suggest that this is certainly an instance where the Committee on Style now has the intention of the Committee abundantly clear without wrestling with the language on the floor.

THE CHAIRMAN: The difficulty is that I think they have problems other than language. If it is just language we can resolve it. We will give them a few minutes to tell us.

Delegate James.

DELEGATE JAMES: Sections 3.16 and 3.17 ought to be simplified. Many of these things should be left to rules. I plan to offer an amendment to make this concept effective.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gallagher.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Well, I think we disagree on the question of phi-

losophy here. I would like to have several members of my Committee work on the language in 3.16. If you will proceed to the other points, then we can return to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well.

Do all delegates have a copy of amendment I?

This will be marked Amendment No. 8.

For what purpose does Delegate Grumbacher rise?

DELEGATE GRUMBACHER: I am probably out of order. I was going to ask for reconsideration of the vote by which Amendment No. 7—

THE CHAIRMAN: You can do it now or later.

DELEGATE GRUMBACHER: All right.

THE CHAIRMAN: Wait until we dispose of the other amendment. I guess it has been offered.

Amendment No. 8. The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 8 to Committee Recommendation LB-2 by Delegate Gallagher, Chairman of the Committee on the Legislative Branch:

On page 4 section 3.15, Form of Laws, in line 14 after the word "Assembly" insert the following: ", except supplementary appropriation laws,"; and in line 15 after the period insert the following sentence: "All supplementary appropriation laws shall be limited to the subject of appropriations, the purpose or purposes of which shall be clearly defined therein."

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is offered by Delegate Gallagher, is it seconded?

*(The amendment was duly seconded.)*

The Chair recognizes Mr. Gallagher to speak to the amendment.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the Committee: The purpose of this amendment is to make section 3.15 consistent with the recommendation of the Committee on State Finance and Taxation.

That Committee has drawn language which will allow supplementary appropriations laws to encompass more than one subject or one item. As you will remember in the recent Court of Appeals case *Patton v. Comptroller*, the Court of Appeals held that the revised Agnew, Hughes, Cooper