Is it not correct, however, that if a board of education and a board of regents is provided under the education article, that would take precedence over this?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos.

DELEGATE FORNOS: Absolutely, Delegate Maurer. On line 9 we said "unless otherwise provided in this constitution."

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pullen, do you have a question?

DELEGATE PULLEN: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: State the question.

DELEGATE PULLEN: Would you be willing to provide a state board of education, boards of education, board of regents for the University of Maryland?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos.

DELEGATE FORNOS: Dr. Pullen, all of the sponsors of this amendment are in complete agreement with that philosophy under the educational article.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pullen.

DELEGATE PULLEN: Well, that is a very fine statement, and I am just wondering—

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pullen, the only matter before you now is to ask a question.

DELEGATE PULLEN: I am just laying the ground, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: You are using up his valuable time, so be brief.

DELEGATE PULLEN: That might be helpful.

I just want to know how much trust I can put in this, and that is said with no reflection whatever to a very intelligent and progressive young man, if you are for that which you have just stated. I believe it too, so I would like to work with you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any other questions?

(There was no response.)

Delegate Hickman.

DELEGATE HICKMAN: I would like to ask a question of Delegate Fornos.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos, do you yield to a question?

DELEGATE FORNOS: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Hickman.

DELEGATE HICKMAN: Delegate Fornos, you gave an example which I think was a very good one. You used the example of having a pet project, state roads, that you want to get through, and maybe the commissioner owes you something and perhaps he is in favor of this, yet we have a commission which consists of six people who represent the various sections of the state of Maryland, and five of these people who do not represent your district say no.

Do you not think that the good of the people of the State is better served by having this commission than having one man say yes when it perhaps is not too good an idea in the first place?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos.

DELEGATE FORNOS: As you well know, and perhaps both of us are sparring with each other, the state roads commission can make that decision anyhow.

Perhaps it was a bad example on my part because it is up to the legislative delegation from that area to make the decision on what road is to be built and not to be built.

I am not arguing on the merits of that, but perhaps I ought to take a different example of another board. Should we perhaps take water pollution, and have a board in the natural resources area.

Would it not be catastrophic if the requirements of the health of this State were secondary to regional interests? I think you ought to go out and get professional administrators to head these departments, subject to confirmation by the Senate, so that they can look at the total state picture and represent all of the interests and priorities of that area.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos has left only two minutes to reply to questions.

I will ask each of the four people rising to be very brief.

Delegate Boileau.

DELEGATE BOILEAU: Delegate Fornos, you have already made reference to the advisory boards. Is it not true that this does not have any effect on 4.18 as to regulatory quasi-judicial or temporary agencies?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Fornos.