

if we removed the word "occupational" the General Assembly would not be able to describe any professional qualifications?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: If you remove the word "occupational" the General Assembly will be able to prescribe what is required for a particular position. For example in the case of a board, you might require that not more than three members shall be of the same political party. In the case of another board, you might require that they come from various sections of the State.

There could be any number of qualifications including occupational qualifications that the General Assembly could describe.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Miller.

DELEGATE B. MILLER: In that case I think the memorandum has an inconsistency and we should not approve the memorandum when we approve the amendment.

The memorandum said it was otherwise. If by using the word "qualification", you mean that the General Assembly could provide occupational qualification when it so desired, I would suggest the deletion of the memorandum.

THE CHAIRMAN: The memorandum, Delegate Miller, is not approved when the amendment is approved, necessarily.

I think the record should clearly state the point that you make. I do not think the adoption of the amendment is the adoption of the memorandum.

Delegate James.

DELEGATE JAMES: Mr. Chairman, I believe the memorandum is based on some discussion that was held in Committee on this point; I personally, as a member of the Committee, never agreed that the leaving out of the word "occupational" would in any way hamper the General Assembly as it does not today, so I think there is some justification for the person who wrote the memorandum saying this.

I do not really believe it represented a unified feeling of the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan, do you have a further comment?

DELEGATE MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, I have no further comment except that striking out the word "occupational" it seems to me would give the General Assembly the power to prescribe any qualifi-

cation, and I think that was Delegate Storm's contention in proposing this amendment.

Am I correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Storm?

DELEGATE STORM: Yes, Mr. Chairman, that was the idea.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chairman recommends that although the recent discussion dealt with the second part of the amendment, nevertheless the Chairman of the Committee recommends the adoption of both changes covered by the amendment.

Is that correct?

DELEGATE MORGAN: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 22. A vote Aye is a vote in favor of the amendment, and a vote No is a vote against. Cast your votes.

Delegate Boyce, you will have to announce your vote.

DELEGATE BOYCE: Aye, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Does any delegate desire to change his vote? Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 99 votes in the affirmative and 4 in the negative, the motion is carried and the amendment is adopted.

Are there any further amendments to section 4.21?

The Chair hears none.

Any amendments to section 4.22?

The Chair hears none.

Are there any amendments to section 4.23?

The Chair hears none.

Delegate Maurer.

DELEGATE MAURER: Amendment to section 4.23, I believe it is amendment Y.

THE CHAIRMAN: Amendment Y. The pages will please distribute amendment Y. Please mark this Amendment No. 23.

The Clerk will please read the amendment.