THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Smith.

DELEGATE M. SMITH: I think I should report, Mr. Chairman, that I saw Delegate Malkus at about 1:30 P.M. and he said he was heading home then.

(Laughter.)

THE CHAIRMAN: May I have your attention, please? I hesitate very much to lose the momentum of moving ahead with the consideration of this Report if we can get out of the way a number of these amendments. I do not believe that there would likely come up within the next hour and a half any crucial amendments on this article. I would like to inquire whether any of the fifteen or so delegates who still intend to go home this evening would object if they were discussed and the Committee of the Whole continued its deliberations on this section until 6:00 P.M.?

Delegate Churchill Murray.

DELEGATE E. C. MURRAY: I think that would be wonderful.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate White.

DELEGATE WHITE: Mr. Chairman, you have no objection to those of us remaining who do not want to go til you finish the work of this session?

THE CHAIRMAN: No, indeed, I do not.

DELEGATE WHITE: What time do you hope to adjourn?

THE CHAIRMAN: I would adjourn in any event by about 6:00 P.M. or 6:15 P.M.

The Chair did not see any indication that the delegates who want to return to their homes would object to a continuation. Therefore, we will continue discussion on these amendments at this time. Any delegates who would return to their home this evening and feel they should do so now or at any time later may be excused.

Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: I want to announce that there is a possibility that the attorney general amendment may come up, but this amendment was worked out with our Committee with the representatives from our Committee who originally filed a minority report. It is recommended unanimously by our Committee, it has the approval of the attorney general and as far as I know, there is no controversy whatever about it at the present time.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well.

Go ahead then and make as much progress as you can.

Delegate Maurer, do you desire now to offer your Amendment No. 18?

DELEGATE MAURER: I desire to withdraw Amendment Nos. 18, 19 and 20.

THE CHAIRMAN: Amendment Nos. 18, 19 and 20 will be cancelled, considered as not having been offered. Just destroy them.

For what purpose does Delegate Case rise?

DELEGATE CASE: Mr. Chairman, before we leave this subject, I have some additional questions I want to ask of the Chairman of the Committee.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well, Delegate Morgan, do you yield to the question?

DELEGATE MORGAN: I yield.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: Delegate Morgan, during the discussion on the last amendment, which was quite long and somewhat confusing to me, I think I heard you say, perhaps I heard some others say, that the University of Maryland and the system of state colleges, might, indeed, be classified as a principal department under section 4.20. Am I correct in that, sir?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: You are correct, Delegate Case. It is possible.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: And it would follow from that, of course, that the University of Maryland and the system of state colleges would be a principal department under section 4.19 which gives the governor very broad powers of organization and reorganization. Is that not also true?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: That is correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case.

DELEGATE CASE: In drafting section 4.19, Delegate Morgan, did your Committee intend to vest in the governor the power to alter or change the policies of the Board of Regents of the University for the Board of Trustees of state colleges with respect to the general supervision of these institutions or their academic affairs in these matters?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan.