

not apply to the state public school system or to the institutions of higher education.

Delegate Pullen.

DELEGATE PULLEN: Mr. Chairman, I hope you will forgive me for my intransigence, but I have studied six languages and taught three and I have learned more about the English language in the last six years than I have in all of my years.

All I want to know here is, does that phrase eliminate from the public schools and the institutions of higher learning of Maryland, any particular intent of this provision?

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair will state again what it understands to be the effect of the amendment, and that is that section 4.20, at least the first sentence of it, would have no application whatsoever to the state public school system or institutions of higher education.

Delegate Pullen.

DELEGATE PULLEN: Sir, that means yes. I accept it.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Sybert.

DELEGATE SYBERT: Mr. Chairman, the first sentence also provides, does it not and is this not the primary and indeed the sole intent of the section, to provide that all other departments, Dr. Pullen, must be headed by a single executive?

THE CHAIRMAN: That is correct.

DELEGATE SYBERT: Even natural resources, which might include tidewater fisheries, upland game and fish, four or five other bureaus. It also would have to be headed by a single executive, if this amendment passes? Is that not correct?

THE CHAIRMAN: That is correct, Delegate Sybert.

Does any delegate desire to speak in favor of the amendment? Delegate Scanlan?

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I am in favor of the amendment in both of its parts, but I think in yielding to Mrs. Maurer's request that her amendment not be divided as restating the question as the Chair has done, that the Chair has actually done a disservice both to Mrs. Maurer and to the Convention.

There are really three categories of votes here. First, there are those who would want all principal departments headed by a single officer, with no boards

whatsoever, with no exceptions for anybody.

Secondly, there are those who would want a single executive for all principal departments, except the state public school and the institutions of higher education.

Thirdly, there are those who would provide for a board for the state public school system and systems of higher education, and also, like Mr. Storm and Mr. Sybert, would permit the legislature to provide for a board in other instances, so you have three different situations, three different categories of votes, and I do not think the matter can be presented in one issue. I think there are at least two. I think her amendment was originally properly divisible and I appeal the ruling of the Chair and suggest we vote A, on the first part, and B, on the second.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair suggests to Delegate Scanlan that the purpose that he desires to achieve can readily be achieved if he will offer an amendment to the amendment, to add the words "unless otherwise provided by law" to the sentence.

A vote on that amendment would test the sentiment of the Committee on the precise points you raised.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I hope they do.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I do not want to do this. I am in favor of Delegate Maurer's motion, but I do not think it is fair to those in the General Assembly who want to have other areas with a board.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Scanlan, you said you appealed the ruling of the Chair and I am not sure to which ruling you referred.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: I thought in effect you originally acceded to my request, that the question be made divisible and then in yielding to Delegate Maurer's blandishments, that in a general way you restated the question in a way that I think now poses an unfair choice for the Convention.

They have to take the whole apple unless someone comes forward with this amendment you suggest, and I do not see why you did not rest with your original decision and let the question be divided, vote A and then vote B.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Chair did not change its decision. The Chair said the