

*(There was no response.)*

The Clerk will record the vote.

Delegate James has just called the attention of the Chair to the fact that one of the stylistic rules adopted by the Convention, or at least recommended by the Committee on Style is that there be no cross references.

This section, or the amendment just adopted, violates that rule. I ask the Committee on Style to take it into consideration and phrase it in such manner as to avoid cross reference.

Are there any further amendments to section 5.28?

The Chair failed to announce the result of the vote on the last amendment.

There being 104 votes in the affirmative and none in the negative, the motion carries. The amendment is adopted.

Are there any further amendments to section 5.28? If not, that concludes the presentation of that portion of the article.

We now proceed to a consideration of part 3, dealing with administration and rule-making power, which is sections 5.29 to 5.31.

The Chair desires to call attention to the fact that we have now had this article under debate for nearly four and a half days. It is imperative that we conclude the debate as soon as possible. I would urge the committee chairmen and the minority spokesmen to keep this in mind in making their presentations. The Chair recognizes Delegate J. Clark.

DELEGATE J. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, a point of inquiry. In view of some of the actions we have taken, I think we should go back to section 5.14, and I have an amendment that I would like to offer. The question is, would you want to take it now or later?

THE CHAIRMAN: Is the amendment printed?

DELEGATE J. CLARK: Yes, sir. It is CL.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is this the same as an amendment which was acted upon earlier, or substantially the same?

DELEGATE J. CLARK: It is somewhat the same, but it differs, sir. I think Delegate Chabot had an amendment which was somewhat similar, but the meaning was quite different.

THE CHAIRMAN: The proposed amendment is very similar to Amendment No. 27, which was acted upon several days ago, but it is different. The Chair thinks it is in order. Will the pages distribute the amendment CL?

This will be Amendment No. 52. The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 52 to Committee Recommendation JB-1 by Delegates Clark and Raley: On page 4 section 5.14 Nomination and Appointment in line 50 after the letters "nees." add this sentence:

"If no sufficient list is received by the governor within the time specified herein, the governor may fill the vacancy by appointing any eligible person."

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment having been seconded, the Chair recognizes Delegate James Clark to speak to the amendment.

DELEGATE J. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, ladies and gentlemen, first of all we have to amend the amendment. Instead of line 50, it goes in after the word "vacancy" in line 45, after the vacancy of the period. This sentence would go in there.

We also would like to amend the body of the amendment to make it more clear to get away from the word "sufficient." It will now read in this manner:

"If no list, or a list with less than the minimum number of names is received by the governor in the time specified herein, the governor may fill the vacancy by appointing any eligible person."

THE CHAIRMAN: Will you give me that language again, "or a list with less—"

DELEGATE JAMES: "If no list, or a list with less than the minimum number of names".

THE CHAIRMAN: The sponsor desires to modify his amendment to change the line 50 and line 2 of the amendment, to line 45, to strike the letters "nees", and insert the following, the word "vacancy", to strike the word "sufficient" in line 5; and in line 5 after the word "list", you will add the words "or a list with less than the minimum number of names". In the absence of objection, the Chair will treat the amendment as modified. Is there such objection? The Chair hears none. The amendment is modified. The Chair recognizes Delegate James Clark to speak to the amendment.