

1 shall not have any say in the election of qualified attorneys
2 to the office of judge, and now the proponents of this
3 measure want to tell you and the other citizens of this
4 State that our fellow citizens shall have absolutely no
5 say in who shall judge them and who shall judge their cases.

6 If we remove this right of removal, we will set
7 down, we will take out, I beg your pardon, the precedent
8 that has been established in our Constitution. If we
9 will remove this right of removal, we will not have the right
10 of removal as we have in Rule 542 of the Rules of Procedure.

11 There has been a move afoot for years to do away
12 with the right of removal because of the charge that
13 although sometimes correct, this right of removal is used
14 for delay. I submit that many, many times it enables a
15 litigant to have a fair and impartial trial either in front
16 of a fair and impartial judge or a fair and impartial jury.
17 If you remove this right of removal, you are telling
18 litigants everywhere that they have absolutely no choice.
19 They must take that judge or this jury to which their case
20 is assigned.

21 Consider that very carefully and please, please