

1 more time, but I want to emphasize my position. I am
2 certainly not in favor of any discrimination of anyone,
3 and if I thought that the language as we have put in the
4 majority report denied any person the equal protection
5 of the law, I would be willing for this amendment or any
6 other amendment to change it.

7 I do not think so. The argument has been made
8 here that the language is not plain. My good friend
9 Delegate Koss said so. I can't see where you can have
10 any plainer language than this: No person shall be
11 denied the equal protection of laws.

12 That is as plain and as concise as can be made
13 any time, any where.

14 I am looking at this thing a little bit from
15 a lawyer's standpoint, especially the judge's on the
16 bench. A system of case law has grown up interpreting
17 the 14th Amendment, or the Federal Amendment, I am not
18 certain I have the right one, but anyway, the Federal
19 Amendment which this law is.

20 will
21 The Courts of Maryland/have no trouble, in view
of the decisions of the Supreme Court, remaining in step