

1 (This section is quoted in the minority report.)"

2 "The Committee's principal reason for opposing
3 such a provision is that it would introduce into the
4 declaration of rights terms which are indefinite in
5 meaning and indeterminate in scope from a legal standpoint.

6 "The committee respectfully suggests that the
7 quoted provision amounts to a comprehensive mandate directed
8 to private actions and relationships as well as to
9 acts of the government vis-a-vis individual citizens.
10 As such, the committee believes it sweeps far beyond
11 the principle of equal protection in the 14th Amendment,
12 has no identifiable limits, and carries a potential impact
13 which is impossible for any member of the Commission or
14 Convention to foresee or evaluate."

15 Now advancing to the point of our committee
16 meeting of Personal Rights and the Preamble -- one of our
17 witnesses was Joseph Rauh. In answer to a question by me
18 asking for an interpretation of State Action, he said:
19 "I think today's Draft would, by the present Supreme
20 Court, be interpreted to include licensees to give an
21 example. Whether it would go so far as including the