

1 action of the state in these cases had not endorsed
2 discrimination.

3 I would like to quote at this point from an
4 article in the Cornell Law Quarterly with respect to
5 these two last cases that I have been talking about.

6 "Drews and Watkins emphasize private property
7 interests and the right to enforce these interests."

8 "Cases such as Drews and Watkins are reasonable
9 decisions and in the sphere of judicial enforcement, private
10 property rights and private decisions will be meaningless
11 unless they are enforceable."

12 Unless we have access to the courts and to
13 the executive, and to the police authority, our private
14 property rights in some areas may be meaningless to
15 us.

16 At this point, I would like to quote briefly
17 from the Sixth Committee Report of the Constitutional
18 Convention Commission. It states here "The committee
19 seriously considered expanding the equal protection
20 clause to include a statement such as that found in
21 Section 20 of the new Connecticut Constitution of 1965.