

1 arrive, there came quickly the feeling that this was
2 wrong to use the public school system as a means of
3 evangelism.

4 The Roman Catholics objected because the public
5 school would use the King James version and the King
6 James Bible doesn't contain the apocrypha.

7 The Jewish people objected because the New
8 Testament was read, something in which they did not
9 agree. The Supreme Court has therefore in the past
10 years proved so consistently opposed to private and paro-
11 chial schools that the Supreme Court felt this was the
12 strongest language we could use.

13 I oppose the amendment because I believe what
14 we have here will best serve to strengthen the public
15 school system. I am in favor of the public school system
16 and so was the committee, and this wording of theirs
17 reflects that concern.

18 One closing statement, if I may. I am con-
19 cerned and the committee was concerned and this language
20 reflects their concern, that if we were to undermine the
21 basic establishment of church-state separation, this would