

1 Section 3.17 about whether it will keep a transcript and
2 whether it will do all these things. Is that needless too?

3 DELEGATE SCANLAN: I will confest that perhaps
4 on this point I am open to the charge of advising you here
5 on this instance to do as I say and not as I did in
6 supporting my chairman in the debate on Senator James
7 amendments. I do think there is a distinction. The
8 section, was it 3.17, that dealt with the legislative
9 procedure was in a sense laying restrictions on the legis-
10 lative activity in the public interest. It could be fairly
11 argued that the restrictions layed upon them were restrictions
12 that shouldn't rise to the level of Constitutional amend-
13 ments. I feel otherwise. There you were laying restrictions
14 on the exercise of legislative procedure as opposed to
15 legislative power in the public interest. Here you are
16 telling the legislature to act in a situation where there
17 is no power on earth accept the power of the people to
18 require them to act, when they have the power already to
19 act. As a matter of fact, it is really quite unfair, it
20 is a delusion to put this in the Constitution and say presto
21 we will have an effective conflict of interest legislative.