

1 provision for a rule of the legislature.

2 Now, the Chairman has indicated that he is
3 willing to set it up, either as a rule, or something which
4 will be, or have a clear legal concept but if you leave it
5 in 3.17 as it is, it is not going to have any legal concept
6 that we recognize in today's law. Consequently, I urge you
7 to vote for the amendment, but with the understanding that
8 the status of the concept can be clarified, so if we go
9 for the amendment and let them clarify it so that we don't
10 have mandatory substantial compliance, we will accomplish
11 what he wants, but we won't clutter up the Constitution with
12 something that doesn't mean anything.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: Any Delegate desire to speak in
14 opposition?

15 Delegate Gleason.

16 DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, after listening
17 a little bit more to this debate, I am more convinced than
18 ever that the purpose of the movers of this amendment is to
19 eliminate from Section 3.17 not only this provision but three
20 or four other provisions that are added in there.

21 There isn't the slightest doubt in my mind as to