

1 DELEGATE DULANY: Mr. Chairman, I think in my
2 opinion I don't believe the language is ambiguous, I think
3 it is clear. I don't believe it is too good, but I think
4 it is clear.

5 I don't think that the point that Delegate
6 Barrick said is actually true, because this would also
7 apply to Delegate districts. There would be two Delegate
8 districts in the county, one of which may extend beyond.

9 THE CHAIRMAN: I think there is all too much
10 clarity. I just don't think it would accomplish your
11 purpose.

12 However, any further discussion on the amend-
13 ment?

14 Delegate Chabot?

15 DELEGATE CHABOT: Mr. Chairman, I find it diffi-
16 cult to see in what way it accomplishes the purpose any
17 more than the language that is presently in 3.02 or in
18 several other proposals that have been made regarding
19 3.02.

20 The difficulty is that if in fact it imposes
21 restrictions that are not already present in 3.02, I am