

1 with a memorandum setting forth the monetary budget re-
2 quired by the fiscal program, and if a similar program
3 now exists, a comparative cost study of the proposed
4 program with the program now in existence. The sponsor of
5 Resolution No. 4 did appear before the Committee on Rules
6 to explain his proposal, and in his appearance before
7 the Committee, he modified it to propose that the fiscal note
8 required under his resolution be only applicable to sub-
9 stantive committee recommendations and not applicable to
10 delegate proposals.

11 The Committee believes that the resolution
12 should be rejected, both in its original and amended form.
13 Apparently a fiscal note procedure has been tried in
14 recent years in the Maryland General Assembly, without
15 any considerable success, but whether it was successful
16 there or not, the Maryland General Assembly is a legis-
17 lative body. It is not a Constitutional Convention. It
18 seems to our Committee that it is of the essence of a
19 successful Constitutional Convention that the flow of
20 ideas emanating from the delegates not be arbitrarily
21 restricted and cut off, and if you were to require a fiscal