

1 suitable for a constitution. I ask you today, who is  
2 to judge what is suitable for a constitution? Each one  
3 of us as individuals have to decide that. It is not  
4 a question of law per se. Many of these things are optional,  
5 one way or the other. It is a question of value judgments.  
6 Where do you place your sense of value?

7 Is it because of the number of people who are  
8 affected? I have to disagree with my good friend,  
9 Delegate Smith, on this when he says that it is too par-  
10 ticularized. All of us are consumers, I submit. We were  
11 talking about before special groups, farmers, lumbermen,  
12 miners. We are all consumers. There is no reference  
13 to a particular religion or economic status. I disagree  
14 somewhat with Delegate Koger. This protects the rich  
15 as well as the poor man. I make reference to Delegate  
16 Case's remarks when he said many, many people were  
17 swindled by savings and loan scandals. People had hundreds  
18 of thousands of dollars, not just the poor man. Would it  
19 be better for us to spell it out, paragraph by paragraph,  
20 ten or twelve pages? We do not need that. We need a  
21 clear mandate to the legislature that we believe this