

1 majority believed that the matter would be best handled
2 through the creation of an additional committee, and the
3 Report so recommended.

4 There was further discussion in the Committee
5 as to whether the duties and functions of a Committee on
6 Public Information should be listed or specified in the
7 Rules themselves. Again, I think a substantial majority
8 were against that. We noted that no other rule, no
9 other committee of the Convention has its functions listed
10 in the Rules, and we didn't think there should be any
11 exception or any deviation from the committee structure
12 in connection with the creation of a Committee on Public
13 Information. However, the Committee wanted to make it
14 perfectly clear, and I believe Delegate Robey agreed that
15 this was acceptable to him, that in creating this Com-
16 mittee, we did not intend, at least our Committee did
17 not intend it to be an administrative committee, with
18 supervisory or day-to-day authority over, say the
19 Director of Public Information, and the Staff that would
20 be concerned with public information.

21 The Committee would be advisory. It would