

1 making power, the courts may be administered within such  
2 limitations and suggestions as the court in its wisdom  
3 provides by rule.

4 There may be some apparent reason that the  
5 legislature at the time it adds judges to this court may  
6 have ideas as to how the divisions may sit or operate, which  
7 might not subsequently appear to the court to be implemented  
8 by rule. To me that is inconceivable.

9 Furthermore, the power of the legislature to  
10 prescribe at the time it expanded the manpower of that  
11 court as to how these divisions might operate could, I  
12 respectfully suggest, interfere and disrupt the orderly  
13 housekeeping, for want of a better word, of the overall  
14 court concept, and of course, particularly at this expandable  
15 court level of the intermediate appellate court, which this  
16 majority report of the Judicial Committee contemplates  
17 must be expanded to take care of an increasing caseload at  
18 that level.

19 We therefore feel that adoption of this amend-  
20 ment is inconsistent with the recommended procedures  
21 in other jurisdictions, especially the appellate level, and