broader coverage. Of course, again, there is no way of enforcing it except to say, as Mr. Bamberger's research revealed, that there is no question that a contingent fee contract for lobbying before a legislative body, and I believe a Constutitional Convention, is either a void or avoidable contract, and to that extent any person that entered into such a contract, if he wasn't sure the client would pay him, would perhaps think twice about it. Then the Morgan amendment is a little broader than we had it originally but the Committee unanimously agreed to that.

Now, finally, there is a category of exception in which the regulation is not to be construed in covering professional services in drafting a proposed Constitutional amendment or advising clients or rendering opinions as construction in effect of any Constitutional amendment which may be considered at the Convention, where such professional services are not otherwise connected with Constitutional Convention action, et cetera.

Now, this clears up a point that is not clear