

1 Using roughly 32, 33 or 34 as the maximum number
2 of people that you can place upon a committee for effective
3 committee work, it seems quite obvious that the number
4 you would want to strike in the House is about a hundred and
5 in other words, it is very close to the 105 figure.

6 I do believe from the point of view of effective
7 committee work, 144 is too high. I say 144 again because
8 I am convinced that that will be what will happen under
9 this amendment.

10 There are those in this House who believe 142 is too
11 high. Certainly 144 is just a little bit higher yet.

12 I should also point out in order to accomplish
13 keepint the House at 144, there would have to be a reduction
14 of seven members in the Senate, the present Senate being
15 43 and to get the four-to-one ratio, which would be
16 required to preserve the House at 144, you would have to go
17 to 36.

18 As Delegate Hanson has pointed out, this
19 provides the most obvious form of inter-house warfare and
20 by its very nature suggests a stalemate.

21 I do not think we ought to provide the materials