

1 level.

2 I would return once again to the committee report
3 which does provide 105, the setting up of three committees
4 to split the work of the House of Delegates on a genuine
5 basis and to apportion among the House of Delegates at 105,
6 a genuine distribution of the workload, and thereby provide
7 a very effective lower chamber.

8 If I believed that within the formula that
9 the amendment suggests that 35-105 would be adopted, I would
10 certainly recommend it, but I feel and I think the other
11 members of this committee would certainly feel what is
12 going to happen is the 180 maximum is going to be used.

13 We are going to have a four-to-one ratio, 36
14 Senators, 144 delegates, 144 delegates is two more than we
15 ought to have under any circumstances, and is many more than
16 we ought to have at the moment.

17 Therefore, Mr. Chairman, I oppose the amendment
18 despite the obvious virtue that I have referred to, but human
19 nature being what it is, I feel we can expect the 36-144
20 figure and I feel that is something that this Convention
21 does not want to recommend.