

1 be 192; in 1980, 227; 1990 or 2000 undoubtedly  
2 you would parallel the Congress of the United States  
3 at 435.

4 He has also made the unfortunate confusion of  
5 mixing up the fixing of the number of the members of the  
6 House and the Senate with the process of apportionment.  
7 That matter has not as yet been reported upon by the Legis-  
8 lative Committee, but I described it yesterday to Delegate  
9 Adkins, and the legislature will have the job of drawing  
10 the districts itself within certain confines. That is,  
11 compact and contiguous and other general confines. So we  
12 are not redistricting or reapportioning anybody in  
13 or out of this legislature as a result of fixing the number.

14 The question is simply this: Is there some  
15 number which this Constitutional Convention feels pro-  
16 vides the ideal way to operate the General Assembly of  
17 Maryland; and I suggest there is such a number. We may  
18 not have it at 105 and 35, but I submit to you that we  
19 are in a far better position here to decide what the  
20 ideal balance may be between efficiency in government and  
21 representation in government.