

1 maximum, and by and large most of them said, virtually
2 without exception, that the House was too large.

3 This is not a question of pure rampant democra-
4 cy. This is a question of trying to balance representative
5 government with efficient government, and as I said yes-
6 terday, somewhere there is a happy medium. But I tell you
7 there is no happy medium out there in the sky, because
8 what has experience proved here in Maryland? It has proved
9 that when reapportionment required the application of the
10 one man-one vote principle, the Senate was increased in
11 size. As a matter of fact, if Bill No. 8 had been
12 constitutional, the size of the Senate would not be forty-
13 three but would be fifty-three. The size of the General
14 Assembly has been increased to one hundred forty-two, and
15 if the population projections of this State are correct
16 for 1970, we can expect that the size of the House will be
17 near two hundred, if we allow each unit to have one vote
18 in the House.

19 Now, I submit to you that somewhere, somewhere
20 we have to draw the line. Mr. Gleason asked, who is to
21 decide. I say to you that we are to decide; that you and