unicameral principle, and attempts to combine the two houses insofar as it is possible within the present system.

We may conclude then from the facts that are available, and from the behavior of the legislature as contrasted with the theory and slogans of bicameralism that hasty and ill-considered legislation is, as I suggested earlier, a self-fulfilling prophecy in a bicameral body.

The second argument made by the Committee is that bicameralism offers greater opportunity for differing citizen interests to be represented in the legislature.

We of the Minority believe that this is probably the strongest argument made by the Majority for the retention of a bicameral system. We believe, however, that this purported advantage is offset in a unicameral body by its increased visibility, and thereby by the ability of the individual citizen, the constituency, to understand the legislative process, and to carefully view and consider the behavior of its representative.

We believe that the interest of citizens is better protected if the legislative power is undivided