

1 that we did have about the possible postponement was  
2 only under Section 3.08, if I recall correctly, the question  
3 of whether or not an increase in salary would apply to  
4 the members of the General Assembly.

5 I think we agreed the answer was yes and we  
6 intended it be thus but on the question of the recomposition  
7 of the legislature, with 35 and 105, the committee has only  
8 discussed the possibility of doing something to provide that  
9 that numerical adjustment be made earlier than 1974. I did  
10 not mention that, but that is true.

11 DELEGATE GLEASON: I think that is very important,  
12 Mr. Chairman, because there has been a vote as far as I am  
13 concerned with respect to when this provision will take  
14 effect, with respect to the 1970 census, the '74 election,  
15 and with respect to the question raised by Mr. Chabot  
16 in connection with the 90-30-30 position.

17 DELEGATE GALLAGHER: May I say, Mr. Chairman,  
18 the committee considered for example getting an earlier  
19 federal census than 1970. We determined it would cost us  
20 25 cents per head count, meaning roughly it would cost us  
21 \$1 million to get an earlier census than the 1970 census.