

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case?

DELEGATE CASE: So that the plan does envision an ultimate obliteration of county and city lines, is this correct?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: The plan no more envisions the obliteration of existing county or city lines than it had to. It is not the intention to remove the county boundaries or the city boundaries. It merely attempts to conform with the Constitutional mandate of the Supreme Court, there be one man, one vote, and while we would have been delighted had we been able to find a way to provide one vote per county, it was not possible practically because of the reason which I mentioned before lunch.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Case?

DELEGATE CASE: So that this would be the ultimate result?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: The ultimate result would not be the obliteration of county or city lines. It would merely be for the purposes of representation in the General Assembly, a carrying over in some areas.

Now, under the reapportionment section, which is not in here yet, the committee will say that