of time the budget alone, I think, scarcely withinthe span of a single decade, has gone from \$146 million to over \$1 billion a year, the sheer workload of going through the budget alone is enough to presume against trying to set a definite limit upon the meeting time of the General Assembly.

The argument to the contrary was this: That
the General Assembly, being composed of human beings, would
be prone to procrastination and that if the General
Assembly did not have some particular deadline to which it
would address itself and its schedule, that it would never
come to an end.

This was an argument which was made by a substantial number of legislators themselves, who feel that the deadline was necessary, but the problem arose, not in recognizing the principle, but in applying the principle, coming up with a sufficient number of days. The argument was made, why should the legislature be restricted by a ninety-day session or a seventy-day session; why make it an involuntary part-time body? How can you possibly hope to strengthen it to put it on a par with the