\_

 the Union, use single-member districts exclusively so consequently the idea of a single-member district is not one which is new.

I think it is also interesting to note that despite the arguments that are made about the difficulty of using single-member districts within a large urban area, that New York decided to continue the single member district for the use of both Houses of its state legislature, despite the fact that it has within its confines the largest city of the United States.

Now, the arguments for a single-member district are many, and I am just going to briefly outline them.

First of all, it strengthens the two-party system, because it is quite obvious that one can have a large minority party in any political subdivision, and yet as a result of a sweep, a 55 per cent, 45 per cent split between one party and the other may well produce no representatives from the minority party, so that in a political subdivision, which was electing 8, 12 or 16 members to a lower House, and was constituted with 55 per cent one party and 45 per cent another, all the members